

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Atsushi Watanabe	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/546,214	04/10/2000			392.1680/JDH	3614	
21171	7590	12/14/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				LE, VU.		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AV	/ENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT		•		2613		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/546,214 WATANABE E		AL.				
			xaminer	Art Unit					
			/u Le	2613					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, car	E OF THIS COMMUN a). In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) M use the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>20 Aug</i> r	ust 2004.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition	•		atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims			·					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers			•					
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		ted or b) objected t	to by the Examiner.	•				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dra	wing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawing	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exan	niner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
•	3. Copies of the certified copies	-		en received in this Nationa	l Stage				
	application from the Internation	<u>.</u>	• • • •						
* (See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of	the certified copies n	ot received.					
Attachmen			_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948\		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) 🔲 Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date									

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishida et al, US 4,680,802 in view of Greenspan, US 6,026,189.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Re claims 1-9, the grounds for rejection as set forth in the last Office Action still apply here. The newly added limitation of "a plurality of stacked objects, each having identical three-dimensional shapes but different position and postures" in independent

Application/Control Number: 09/546,214

Art Unit: 2613

claim 1 does not overcome the teaching disclosure of Nishida et al for the following reasons.

Firstly, Applicant's contention that Nishida et al deals with 2-D component parts is not correct. The previous Examiner fails to recognize that the component parts in Nishida et al are actually 3-D component parts. Although Nishida et al does not explicitly use the terminology "three-dimensional" per se, ample disclosure provides evidence of three-dimensional consideration. For example, the consideration of a component part's position (i.e. two dimensions) and posture (i.e. third dimension) is prima facie case of three-dimensional consideration of said component part (see col. 2, line 14-27). In the cited segment, the component part's position and posture must be ascertained for robotic manipulation or pick-up of said part. For a successful robotic pick-up of said part, knowledge of roll, pitch, and yaw angles is necessitated.

Secondly, in Nishida et al, the component parts are arbitrarily positioned in a feeder (1), hence these parts are in effect "stacked" in said feeder.

Thirdly, requiring identically shaped component parts as now claimed as opposed to arbitrarily shaped parts as disclosed in Nishida et al is non-distinct. In Nishida et al, because component parts are arbitrarily shaped, a shape of each component must first be determined before position and posture can be ascertained (see fig. 3, col. 2, line 40 – col. 3, line 13). When the component parts are identically shaped as claimed, this step may be skipped and only the position and posture of the parts are necessary for the eventual robotic pick-up.

Application/Control Number: 09/546,214

Art Unit: 2613

Although it is viewed by this examiner that the Greenspan patent is not necessary to obviate 3-D shape determination of an object as claimed, its explicit disclosure establishes prima facie case of obviousness about 3-D shape recognition of object which is not expressly disclosed in the Nishida et al patent. The 103 rejection of claims 1-9 is based on Nishida et al and Greenspan as a whole.

Contact

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vu Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-7332. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-2600. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2613

Vu Le Primary Examiner AU 2613 (571) 272-7332 Vu.Le@uspto.gov

1